

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 31, 1999 LB 585

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And what aspect of it would be before us, and I don't mean you have to give section, line and verse, but what would the theory of the bill be that would be before us?

SENATOR BROMM: Well, I'm interested in continuing to look at the repeat offender DUI and I'm not sure what the provisions might be, had these not come to light, but there's probab...there'd be an element of that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But we could achieve that purpose without all of the things that some people feel we are compelled to put in this...

SENATOR BROMM: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...legislation under the federal duress.

SENATOR BROMM: Right. There would be an element of something to do with the speeds on the interstate, which is the amendment that you addressed of Senator Coordsen's. There would be something along that line. And I would have, in any event, when it came to my attention about the difference in points, I would have attempted to do something about that, I'm sure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR BROMM: But this...this...that's my answer, I guess.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Bromm, and I'm glad he said "attempted" because I'm going to fight against that tooth and nail. But here's where I will go for it. If we make sure that the people in Senator Coordsen's district, Senator Bromm's district, and every district in the state is subject to the same point assessments, no exceptions, whether it's over-length, over-width, or overweight of trucks, don't except them out. Put points on every violation...

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...of every provision of the rules of the road. Don't create differences in fines depending on where you exceed the speed limit rather than by how much, which is what