

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 31, 1999 LB 585

the first time, but someone who didn't learn from the first mistake and repeats that a second, third or fourth time. So, the amendment that I'm going to bring up will do generally what I've said and I'll explain it in more detail once I have it filed. So, with that, I'll yield the rest of my time back to the Chair.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Madam President. I understand what Senator Bromm said; but, because I need time to express what it is I'm trying to get across, I'm not going to withdraw my amendment and I'm going to take a vote on it. Because, on the chance that something is not worked out, then I want to have pushed all the way, as far as I should go. I'd like to ask Senator Tyson a question or two, since he has commented on the impoundment.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Tyson, will you yield?

SENATOR TYSON: Yes, ma'am.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Tyson, I had thought that the attitude in Norfolk was when they talk about impoundment they didn't realize that the letters "IM" were on it and they just thought it meant pounding a vehicle, but from your discussion it's clear that's not the case. Let me ask you this question. In the green copy of the bill it discusses the situation that you spoke of where the individual, whose car is taken, will have to leave it there. The one holding it obtains a lien against the vehicle and can hold that vehicle until the lien is paid. As far...

SENATOR TYSON: For a period of time, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right. As far as you know, is it possible that a car could be stored for such a period of time that the amount owing would be more than the value of the car?

SENATOR TYSON: I suppose, just using a multiplier, that would be possible; but I believe that the impoundment period is such that the car becomes abandoned after a certain number of days