

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 30, 1999 LB 704

and I guess that's the part that bothers me. There is another part that I have a...and I don't know whether this is in the amendments to it. Whose, I was gone for part of this, who are the amendments that were added onto this, whose are those? Are those Senator Bromm's?

SENATOR BROMM: Committee.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Could I ask Senator Bromm a couple of questions?

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Bromm, would you respond?

SENATOR BROMM: Yes, I would.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Senator Bromm, under 60-4...544, you have...you have indicated where a license is suspended the DMV has the right to suspend the license because they've dropped their insurance. Is that correct?

SENATOR BROMM: No, Senator Vrtiska. In the committee amendment.... You're talking about 60-544?

SENATOR VRTISKA: Right.

SENATOR BROMM: In the committee amendment on page 28 is that section, and there has been a duty in the past where a person has had to carry insurance and a SR-22 form has been filed with the state, and maybe they've had an accident, and they've not had insurance when they had the accident, and they had a problem, so they had to file...or their license was suspended. They had to file an SR-22. Now if their insurance is subsequently cancelled or terminated, the insurance company, under 60-544, has had a duty to file a notice of that in the office of the Department of Motor Vehicles. However, it never said when they had to file it, so this just adds the requirement that it must be filed within ten days by the insurance company with the department. It is not a new filing. It puts a time limit of ten days on when they have to file it.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Okay. Well, I just read this part of it and I'm sorry that I'm really behind on this...