

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 29, 1999 LB 822

it's not in my district, I believe it's probably in Senator Robak's district 'cause it's downstream from where I'm at, but these were warm water streams and they're not doing anything about them and I don't know why they would do anything more with a cold water stream. I know the information that I have on the one on the Loup, it was a flagrant violation. They deliberately done it. It wasn't an overflow or anything else. And I just...we had a director of DEQ, we got a new administration, we got a new director, I'm not sure the new director's doing anything. I just have a real problem with DEQ doing anything as far as regulations on any of this waste. I don't know what they're doing over there, but I guess maybe that's another issue. But I think we do need to look at what's going on over there and maybe put some teeth into some legislation here so that they do carry out what they're supposed to be doing. I don't know what else to say, but I think I'll probably support Senator Beutler on this because I think it's an issue that needs to be supported so we can slow this down. Thank you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Beutler, there are no other lights on. Would you like to close?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Schimek, members of the Legislature, the amendment was honestly intended as a friendly amendment because, to me, the whole purpose of the bill was to protect, to a certain extent at least, these particular kinds of watersheds. And so what the amendment does is to say that somebody that starts a new facility outside the watershed is not going to have more rights than a person who starts a new facility inside the watershed. Now, remember, under the bill you can't start a class II, III or IV facility inside the watershed, so obviously you can't spread any waste, any more waste in the watershed from a new facility 'cause you can't even have the new facility. But, under the law, unless you adopt the amendment, somebody who's not even in the watershed could organize a facility outside the watershed and, under DEQ regulations currently, spread all or a portion of their waste inside the watershed to the detriment of the poor person to whom you have declined the possibility of even having a new facility, period. So it doesn't treat individuals fairly. Keeping with the concept that we've established, that waste should not be able to be brought into the watershed and DEQ right now would have no powers to