

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 29, 1999 LB 822

turned out to be a duplication, I have no objection to taking it off. I'm just making a suggestion that I think makes it clearer for everybody. I think it begs the question of what facilities are in existence on January 1st of 1999. Was the expansion in existence on that date, when you come to apply that section of the law, or wasn't it? And then you turn back to the other section that says "new livestock control facilities for which an application", and we're talking about these interim applications.

SENATOR JONES: Um-huh.

SENATOR BEUTLER: It says with new ones, if they're prior to January 1st, 1999, they're okay. So that makes the application of Section B clear. But, if it's an expansion in process, then you...don't you want to give them also the January 1, 1999 date, so that the facilities that are in existence on January 1, 1999 include expansions that are in process and completed by January 1, 1999? The confusion here comes with the word "expansion". There are two different...two different ways that we're speaking of expansion. One has to do with expansions after the January 1, 1999 date, and the other one has to do with how expansions that are in process before that date are treated. And that's what I'm trying to clarify.

SENATOR JONES: Well,...

SENATOR BEUTLER: And, you know, if...if it doesn't clarify it, then you know I'm not...I don't have a philosophic point of view here, except I'm just trying to follow through consistently with how the bill is structured and being sure that everybody who has something in process can follow along and figure out where they fall in this process.

SENATOR JONES: Yes. Where I was getting my idea, on the very first line, on page 3 it said "expansion of facilities in existence on January 1 of 1999". So I figured that covered it, that's what I meant.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, is something that's in the application process in existence on January 1st, 1999?