

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 29, 1999 LB 822

protection that a new facility got.

SENATOR BOHLKE: I'll talk to Senator Schrock a little off the microphone. If it has...if that decision has to be made, I think it's always better to put in statute, so it's clear, so someone out there isn't wondering if they can have their expansion or not and if it clearly articulates that they would have the ability to do that. So, thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Is this to close, Senator Kristensen?

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: No, it is not.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Then I would pass for the moment, if there are others who have questions.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES: Mr. President, members of the body, I've been listening to this, and I still quite...haven't quite figured out just exactly what this all means. But it looks to me like it could be duplication, myself. Because on page 2 it just starts out with new livestock, but then on the other page your expansion of the facilities, it specifically lays out how much they can increase in size and how much they can expand. So I guess I'm concerned about why we have to have it in myself, because I think it explains it enough on page 3 that we don't need it in, on page 2, after the "new". So, I guess, I'd like to ask Senator Beutler a question to clear that up maybe.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Beutler, would you yield?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR JONES: Yes. Do you understand what I said? Is this a duplication? I mean do you think it really needs to be in there?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, Senator, obviously my thinking is that it needs to be in there. If this amendment were attached and it