

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 25, 1999 LB 476

SENATOR KIEL: ...a cash fund at any time.

SENATOR SMITH: Okay, thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Smith. I would like to announce that we did have, in the balcony, 50 eighth graders from Kirn Junior High, from Council Bluffs. (Introduced teachers.) We were real privileged to have them with us. I think they have gone for now, but I did want to get that into the record. Thank you for coming from Council Bluffs. Senator Janssen.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. You know, if this is such a, you know, a drastic measure and it's going to cost so much money, why have other states never repealed, never repealed any of their handgun legislation? If anything, they have relaxed them. Why is Colorado relaxing theirs, if this has been such an outbreak of violence and more guns on the street, so on and so forth? Why are they...why are they relaxing it? I can't understand that. And, if we can't accept an application, then you're never going to have any money to start this. That's what it's all about. Senator Kiel's amendment...I think her intent is to keep the state from ever issuing a first permit, because they would have to get some money to start, an A bill. All right. And if you want to...if you intend to make the bill revenue neutral, you first have to get...you first have to get some applications with the fee. On page 23, line 2, the fund shall also be used to repay the General Fund, any General Fund appropriations made to the Nebraska State Patrol for start-up costs associated with implementation and enforcement of this act. All money in the fund available for interest shall be invested in the State Investment Officer, pursuant to the Nebraska Capital Expansion Act, and the State Fund Investment Act. So you have to have applications. You have to get some start-up costs, which will be repaid back to the General Fund, on page 23. That is why...that is why it's there. That is the intent. You can never make it revenue neutral if you don't have some start-up costs to start getting some money back into that...back into that fund, it's as simple as that. I don't know how many other cases we've had before this Legisl...how many other proposals have we had that you get start-up costs? I'm not sure all of