

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 25, 1999 LB 476

Senator Kiel, followed by Senators Schimek, Smith, and Janssen. Senator Kiel, to speak on the amendment.

SENATOR KIEL: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature. In case you don't have this pulled up on your computer screen, I'm going to read the language to you. "It is the intent of the Legislature that the Concealed Handgun License Act be revenue neutral. No application to carry a concealed handgun shall be accepted and no license issued at any time when the Concealed Handgun License Fund does not have sufficient funds to pay all the costs of processing an application for such a license." There has been debate on the floor as to whether or not the amount of money that's going to be collected, in order to oversee this program, is going to be enough. What they're talking about right now, in terms of operating expenses, is, in the first year, \$1,213,653; and in the second year, \$841,688. And it has been said that, based upon what I'm reading here, that the revenue coming in is not going to be enough, in fact about \$500,000 too little the first year. What I am asking my colleagues to do with this amendment is to insure that the state is not on the hook for a half a million dollars, at least, in order to carry out this program. I don't happen to believe that this particular piece of legislation, if it's enacted into law, will ever become revenue neutral, and I think the state is going to end up paying for a significant portion of the costs of this law from now until maybe we would happen to repeal the law. But what I'm asking my colleagues to do today is to make sure that we don't end up having to pay money to take care of what we should have done on the front end of this, and that is insure that the license fee is high enough so that it pays its own way. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kiel. Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I just had a conversation, off the mike, with the esteemed Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, and think I got an answer to my question. As we both looked at 81-1414, apparently any law enforcement officer who was appointed before 1972 to a permanent appointment, that's almost 30 years ago, they don't have to be certified in how to use a gun. They don't have to take that training, which is kind of scary when you think about