

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 25, 1999 LB 476

would allow them to carry that off duty.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Why would a law enforcement officer want to carry when they're off duty.

SENATOR JANSSEN: I would imagine, if the situation would arise, that he would, if he's off duty and comes upon a confrontation with...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time. Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Chambers, to speak on the Kiel amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I was getting caught up in Senator Janssen's example that he was going to give. This discussion has really been far more low-key than I think anybody anticipated. I watched it yesterday. I watch it today. I see little knots of my colleagues chatting amiably together. I see them having popcorn feeds under the balconies, maybe one or two are discussing the affairs of today and what's going to happen next week. And I'm sure nobody thought that when we're talking about this bill, to let people high guns in their clothes, that there would be this much of a relaxed atmosphere in the Chamber. So I just thought I'd call it to everybody's attention. But I now have to ask Senator Schellpeper a question. Senator Schellpeper, as you come to your mike, this amendment would say that, if money runs out in this fund, no more permits would be issued. Would you be in favor of an amendment that would say should there be a shortfall, an amount equal to that shortfall will be taken from the Lottery Fund?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you yield, Senator Schellpeper?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Yes, I will. No, it's two different issues.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, who was talking. Okay, okay. (Laugh)

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: It's two different issues, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Where would money come from to make up the shortfall, or would you be in favor of this amendment that no