

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 24, 1999 LB 476

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But people...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: ...and that's what it would do.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...people, in a sense, are being penalized by your logic by having to pay any fee. Isn't that true?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: No, if you want...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In a...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: ...if you want the permit, you should pay a fee. I've always supported fees.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But we're not basing the fee on the value that the person is going to receive as a result of the fee, but only to try to make the bill revenue neutral. Isn't that true?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Well, if it costs the State Patrol and the state \$86, that's what it should be. But they're going with \$100 license fee here.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But if it should be...if it will cost the state \$10, I meant it costs the state enough so that \$10 per person would be enough, then the fee should be \$10.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: That's right. That's right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So there is no relationship between the fee and what the person is receiving but rather trying to avoid the state having to pay any General Fund money to administer it.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Senator, how do you know what the person is going to receive? What...how do you put a dollar on what the individual will receive?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, actually, the individual is going to receive nothing.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: That's right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So why put any fee on it?