

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 1999 LB 835

committee amendments, "Any agreement made by a packer in violation of Section 7 of this act is voidable by the seller". That means...that means the seller of the livestock.... That does not...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: And then the remedy...the remedy, if the packer violated that, would be that the seller could cover...recover damages. Is that correct?

SENATOR DIERKS: That's correct, that would be that they can dispose of the livestock until they get whatever they need to recover their damages.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay, okay. Thank you. May speak some further about this, but I do...I do want to go on record as strongly supporting the legislation. I certainly hope that other states will see fit to do something similar. And I encourage our congressional delegation, in a bipartisan way, to support this effort, because I think it's essential, whether you're one political party or another, to address this problem in a bipartisan manner as we here are on the floor of the Legislature. Thank you.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Senator Raikes, followed by Senator Hilgert and Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. Senator Dierks, if I could continue with a question or two. Senator Bromm, I think, picked a couple of the areas that I wanted to explore a little bit with you. One of them is Section 4, where you talk about packer feeding. How would this provision change what we currently have in law? You mentioned Initiative 300 and some impacts of that.

SENATOR DIERKS: Well, I think the only change is that it puts it in statute, as well as having it in our constitution. I think it adds impetus to the effect of all the legislation we have in this amendment.

SENATOR RAIKES: To press that a little bit, "packer" is defined