

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 1999 LB 495

listening, that the appeal to religion...I mean to education is to get people to feel more comfortable about wasting their money on this lottery. They can lull themselves into the false feeling of justification by saying, even if I don't win, I'm helping education; I'm not really gambling, I'm contributing to the education of the children, and in the process I just get the chance to win some money, too. So, if there is no intent to lure people into gambling, I see no reason why there ought to be any reference to educational programs that may be associated with the expenditures of this money. On this one, because I see it as going to the heart of the matter of gambling and its impact on this society, I'm going to listen very carefully to those who might oppose Senator Kiel's amendment. There may be a compelling reason other than to lure in the suckers for tying education into the advertisements for lotteries. I wish there were no advertising, period, because I wish there were no lottery. But, as they say, if wishes were horses, then beggars would ride. But I'm not sure that that's true. But anyway, they were trying to make a point, and I think it is well taken. I'd like to ask Senator Stuhr a question, because this is her bill we're dealing with.

SENATOR CUDABACK PRESIDING

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuhr, will you yield?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuhr, do you have a problem with this amendment, if you've had a chance to look at it?

SENATOR STUHR: I have looked at it, and I have no problem with it. I will be supporting the amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. I would like to ask "Deacon" Jones a question, if I may.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Jones, will you yield?

SENATOR JONES: Yes, I will.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: At first I thought he didn't answer because