

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 1999 LB 495

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. She had pointed out that the grants which would be available have all been granted already. So this money is going to be there. And she didn't, personally, see any reason to leave those words "up to" in the bill. So, will you support an amendment to strike those words "up to", and just have that flat appropriation amount?

SENATOR STUHR: Could I....

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I'm asking you, Senator Stuhr. You can respond.

SENATOR STUHR: All right. It is my understanding that if for some reason the committee decided to not leave 3 million, point 2 in there, and would just even...if they made a mistake or something in the grants that they were providing, and there were less than 3 million, that none of it would be given. And that was...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Who said...

SENATOR STUHR: And that was why we said "up to".

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Who said that?

SENATOR STUHR: Fiscal.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Who in Fiscal told you that? 'Cause I want names to this kind of stuff, because it's interesting to me. They said that a mistake might be made, there wouldn't be this amount of money, in which case nothing would be forthcoming? That's what they told you?

SENATOR STUHR: If it states in the bill that they would intend to appropriate 3 million, point 2, and there were less, if, for some reason they would decide to give one major grant of 2 million or so, there would not be this \$3.2 million.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Who were they going to give that grant to?

SENATOR STUHR: I don't...I don't know.