

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 1999 LB 495

think that prevents me from trying. And I don't think that's a reason, if that is the reason, of the Chair, I believe that this is a germane amendment. I think when you look at the germane...when you look at the germane rule on its face, does the amendment have anything to do with the bill? The way the bill is shaped now, I think it does. And so I would argue we should overrule the Chair's ruling. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Members, I'm going to go through the list of speakers. If you choose to speak on overruling the Chair, again remember that you get to speak only once during the debate on the motion to overrule the Chair. Senator Chambers, do you desire to speak on this or the underlying amendment?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I believe Senator Bruning is right in arguing for the germaneness of his amendment. If we are going to adopt a policy of saying that a senator brings a bill, and for whatever reason everybody thinks that bill ought to pass, therefore we're going to restrict what can be considered, even if what is being considered is along the same line of what the bill is about, that's a mistake. We are talking about spending this money. Senator Stuhr's amendment was offered because she thought the Governor would veto the bill, if she didn't find someplace other than the General Fund to get the money. So the purpose of her amendment is to find a source of money. The source of money is that which is produced lottery funds. Senator Bruning, since that issue has been opened and brought before us says, well I think some of that money ought to go for another purpose, educational in nature. Why should we have such a restrictive ruling of the germaneness rule. If this is adopted, if the Chair is upheld, it would mean that it's practically impossible to amend another bill, unless you're just going to try to go along, more or less, with what the bill already says, and yet we will offer amendments that are diametrically opposed to what is in a bill. We know that a kill motion certainly is not germane to the bill, because the purpose of that is to wipe it out. We have had amendments offered which would totally gut bill, and that was done without suspending the rules, and totally replace what was in it. You all will often see in your bill book an amendment that says, strike all of the...of the original provisions and substitute. And is being substituted is not