

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 1999 LB 495

allocate those funds, whether we're comfortable with that or not. I do not know how else to get at that issue. But the fact of the matter is this is money that has been built up, even encouraging someone to go out and buy a lottery ticket now will not help this particular three-point new million that I'm talking about. So it is a one-time issue. It leaves that fund then for future allocations on other regular grant program, if that be the concern of some. It doesn't wipe it out. As near as I can tell, it's about 800,000 a quarter comes into this fund. So that money would be there sometime, I guess, this fall, for a new round of grants, if that be it, the way I understand it, and I'm subject to correction on this, but that's the way I understand it. So I filed this to give an option to those. This last amendment narrowly passed and I'm not clear as to exactly why, except if we had not passed it we would have had the green copy, or at least the committee amendments with the green copy, and I was very uncomfortable with those. So I did vote for the amendment to the committee amendments for that reason, because it essentially has become the bill. And so this is an alternative amendment, if you're interested in continuing support for voc. ed. I generally have been supportive of vocational education across the state. I realize some of what you said, others have said in this debate, have been right, that perhaps we are...we ought to train some of our...not...just concentrate on a good education and not worry so much about vocational education. On the other hand, those that work in that field say we need some kinds of skills being taught because some kids absolutely dislike school and so forth and so on. Those of you that are educators are much better...more...and more qualified to speak to that than I am, and I would like to hear some debate on that if that be the case. But this represents some kind of an alternative to an ongoing funding for this out of this particular fund, because there has been accusations that we are continually robbing that. From my perspective, it's a much better alternative than taking it out of General Fund at this point in time. Because I too believe that if locals really want to emphasize vocational education they ought to be putting their money where their mouth is, otherwise I guess they drop it. And that is a consideration, especially in smaller schools. So I think there are lots of concerns around this issue and many of you, probably like me, are waffling back and forth as to what we should do with a bill