

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 1999 LB 495

SENATOR STUHR: ...a number of students.

SENATOR VRTISKA: ...okay, thank you for the answers.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. Senator Kiel, please.

SENATOR KIEL: Thank you, Senator Coordsen, members of the Legislature. I would ask my colleagues, whenever this amendment comes up for...amendment to the amendment comes up for a vote, that you defeat this particular amendment to the amendment, and go back to the basic question, and that is, whether or not we, as a Legislature, should have the policy of providing for additional money for vocational education to the school districts? You all are very aware of the fact that I think facing whether or not you do a particular program on gambling proceeds is a bad idea. The idea that we ought to pay attention to vocational education, obviously, is a good idea. But what we need to make a determination about is whether or not we provide additional funding for this particular segment of education. We may...we need to decide whether or not it's going to be our policy to provide for separate streams of revenue for different educational purposes. And maybe we decide that's what we should do. Maybe we ought to set aside a separate amount of money for vocational education. And, if that's what the Legislature determines is right, then fine with me. But I do not believe that we ought to provide for a separate stream of revenue for any particular educational purpose, including vocational education, based upon gambling proceeds. I was going to...Senator Stuhr, would you yield for just one question, please?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Senator Stuhr, question, please.

SENATOR KIEL: Would this money that we're looking...that you're looking to find with this particular amendment be available to pay teacher salaries, possibly?

SENATOR STUHR: No, it would not.