

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 22, 1999      LB 142, 149

reasons why you should not? He said this bill does not do this, it does not do that. He ticked off about four things, and he was correct. But to show how his mind is disordered, after giving all the reasons not to do something he says he's going to do it. The reason I'm doubly disappointed, brothers and sisters and "Baron Tyson", didn't we listen to him lecture us this morning about 1 right...1 wrong does not make a right, 50 wrongs do not make a right? And he tells you, after giving you four wrongs, that somehow those four will make a right. He doesn't even remember what he says. (Laugh) I think when he begins to speak his mental processes shut down. He's like this little boat, little tugboat that was out in a harbor. It had a boiler five feet around and seven feet tall and when the whistle blew the boat stopped. (Laugh) Now I have to get to something that I had a point. (Laugh) This morning we were talking about LB 142 and it was to implement a part of Amendment 2 that the public voted in last year. Some of my colleagues said the public may not have realized what they were doing. The public may not have known the consequences of that "yes" vote. And I would tend to agree that argument can be made. We don't have that out on this bill. We know. We understand. We are the Legislature. We make the laws. The laws are what we say that they are and nobody can say that they don't understand what this Legislature is giving over by putting 149 into law in its present condition. That should not be done. And most of us, if taken into the closet where we might say, Senator Hilgert and Senator John (sic) Bourne, forgive me, Father, for I have sinned, and then you can tell the truth, more or less. Most would acknowledge that they shouldn't vote to override this veto. Most would acknowledge they should not have voted to pass this bill in the first place, and most will acknowledge that if they understood Senator Kristensen's amendment they would have voted for that. That would have mitigated the damage. This bill, if it becomes law, is going to put the Legislature in a greater bind than it finds itself in now. This is not a corrective measure that we're looking at. It's one of those put it off till another day and maybe we'll have more steel in our spine a year from now or 18 months from now or whenever, as Senator Brown said, the chickens come home to roost. We ought to call this the Colonel Sanders bill, probably. But Senator Dierks, you are too wise a man. I'm not going to comment by naming people...