

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 22, 1999 LB 142

we've started that discussion, and the only way we're going to be able to continue it is to have a rule suspension and bring in all the others. Otherwise, everything is nongermane, and we're going to move right on through without adequately understanding, let alone trying to find a proper solution. So I do support the rule suspension at this point.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body. I do not support the rule suspension at this time. However, having said that, I do not oppose the discussion of the issue that's contained within the Beutler amendment that we would be addressing as the...if the rule suspension was successful. I think that the comments that this needs to be discussed on the floor are appropriate. The question, though, becomes where and when is that time appropriate. (LB) 142, in the form that it currently exists, with the committee amendment attached, is a recommendation for implementation of the constitutional amendment that was passed in the last general election. Now, if this body thinks that there are one, two, or three types of local government units that are getting from this more than what they believe they should be entitled to, there's not much we can do about that, other than perhaps change the amount of the tax that is on motor vehicles, or change the depreciation mechanism, or change something in that particular realm. Because that law that we passed several years ago is what determines the amount of money that goes to...with the constitutional amendment, schools, counties and municipalities. If we wish to reduce other state aid to free up money to be reappropriated to either NRDs, or community colleges, or SIDs, or, for that matter, any other form of local government, that's grist for the mill. But that ought to be done in a scenario in which it can really be done, which is on the appropriations bill. And it ought to be done in a scenario under which we do, each and every one of us, understand the ramifications of what we're doing, because the formulas are more complex than what we wish to be able to present to you this morning, and that what we're...and...which...then which we are able, really, to explain this morning. Again, to repeat an example with respect to the motor vehicle taxes that would go back to municipalities under this, it is not going to be going back in a way that would be