

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 22, 1999 LB 142

amendment. But I do believe this is the only way we're going to get at discussing this issue. And, if I understand the situation correctly, we may be able to discuss city and county issues here, but we cannot discuss the peripheral issues, which in this case may be community colleges, may be fire districts, may be SIDs, may be NRDs. The one that I am most concerned about is community colleges. And, if I understand the rule interpretation, it would not be germane, and so a suspension of the rules is the only way to get at this. I definitely agree with Senator Beutler that we need to have good, thorough, open discussion on this. Perhaps I might even change my mind, Chris, I don't know. But the point is that we have to discuss this issue. I don't know, I'm a little concerned about what Senator Wickersham said in that we could do something next year. From my perspective, next year is too late. I'm concerned, let's say the community colleges, and he can speak on his own time on that. But it does put us in the Appropriations Committee in a serious dilemma, because if we would fund it straight out, not only does it take \$4.5 million, but I believe that the distribution, as represented in 142, is still not correct. If I'm right in that interpretation, or if I'm wrong, I'd like to know about that. But, if I'm right in that interpretation that it isn't still right, there is some money in there that could be redistributed or reallocated, we couldn't do it in our committee either. We could take it out of that formula, but the faulty distribution would still remain under the present formulas, as represented on page 254 and 255, let alone MIRE. So it looks like to me we're ripe for a rewrite, in some way. I don't think we can do it on the floor of the Legislature. I don't think the Appropriations Committee can do it in the next three or four weeks. I think maybe it has to be a function of Revenue Committee, maybe it has to be a function of someone in the next two or three weeks, when 142 comes back on Select File. I'm not sure how we are to get at that. But I do believe we have to have the debate on this. I believe the correct way at this point is going to be suspend the rules, let Senator Beutler and others move through their amendments that they have, and then we decide, whenever that time is, what we do on 142. So I am supporting the rule suspension, not necessarily going to support Senator Beutler. I believe NRDs do have enough room at this point, but I am open to the discussion. But I do think the whole body needs to understand this whole issue better. I think