

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 22, 1999 LB 142

to uphold the Chair, to do what the people of Nebraska have told us to do, as was indicated by several speakers. The argument is over who gets what out of the amount of money, not those entities that share. Those are spelled out in the constitution. And I'm not telling you this morning that I'm opposed to the concept that is Senator Beutler's amendment because I think over some massaging and on the mainline budget there is I think some reason to have a good discussion over the philosophy of freeing up the resources to then reappropriate to either the NRDs or the educational service units or a combination thereof, because those are the two that are most negatively impacted on the short term by the constitutional amendment that we're trying to suggest to you a formula for distribution of the resource this morning. But bear in mind that most of the money, if we're looking at money in this particular case, will fall to those communities where the newer vehicles are located...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR COORDSEN: ...and those counties where the newer vehicles are located. Other formulas that distribute funds distribute those on other bases and so the allocation of resources would not be, as one might imagine, the reallocation within the city and the county assistance formulas that are in existence. So I do, Mr. Speaker, support your ruling. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr. President, members of the body, first of all, I want to say what Senator Coordsen was referring to is on page 254 and 255, 252, 253, these aid programs. So if you want to see a little more comment on that, that's where they are in the Governor's budget that he presented. That's the only budget package you really have that shows that detail. I'm rising to say that we definitely have to support...talk about this issue, and I don't know what the best format. Perhaps it actually would be a rule suspension, which I think Senator Beutler has. So I guess if you want to be so-called purest interpretation of rules, we ought to do it rule suspension, but there definitely is a connection here and it's one of the things that I've been concerned about for several weeks when I