

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 22, 1999 LB 142

Well, that is precisely what we've been trying to get away from--pressure on the property tax--and I think at this time when we're trying to emphasize what we are doing here for property taxes, the last thing in the world we want is somebody's levy going up on property taxes dramatically to make way, to make up for this lost state revenue that the NRDs will not have. One of two things will happen. Their property tax levies will go up significantly or, two, they won't do the things they should be doing. Now if you get right down to it, it is number two that concerns me most and it concerns me most because the NRDs are our front-line troops when it comes to nonpoint source pollution in this state. They are the front-line troops in terms of developing groundwater management plans to protect the groundwater. They are our front-line troops in terms of developing conservation programs. They are our front-line troops in decommissioning water wells and protecting the quality of the water. In one area after the other, they are the front-line troops as far as protecting the environment is concerned in the rural areas against nonpoint source pollution. And that responsibility, along with their additional regulatory responsibilities, which will, of necessity, increase as time passes, will require that considerable more funding be available to the natural resource districts. You are all aware, for example, that coming down shortly is the grand plan for managing the Platte River and providing for wildlife and habitat and making compromises with Colorado and with Wyoming on the use of the Platte River water. That's going to require enormous participation by the NRDs and it's going to require funding, and some of the things that they're doing now to prepare for those events involve the groundwater management plans, involve hiring people to prepare for the future, involve hiring people to get a handle on the nitrate problems which they do not yet have a handle on. It involves people, hiring people to get a handle on the pesticide problems. And there is no good reason, in my opinion, to disrupt the flow of funds to the NRDs simply because we want to take care of it next year. If we want to take care of it next year then, fine, let's make the basic adjustment here for the NRDs so we're not robbing them of funds and then make whatever refinements we need to make next year. So I'm hopeful that...that this amendment will make a great deal of sense to you. And, with that, I will stop for the moment and invite your