

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 22, 1999

LB 142

cities and villages got a windfall of almost \$14 million between the two. Perhaps Revenue Committee doesn't look at it that way. But it would be my hope that we could get some of that money from those two categories and simply trans...through the aid formula, and transfer it to the community colleges, in this case. The dilemma with that is, and I assume that that's going to come out here and in short...shortly in the discussion, is the fact that it impacts the cities and counties differently. Then the loss in aid under our formula does not get replaced uniformly under the motor vehicle disbursement activity. I think that's our dilemma. And we are going to have to perhaps rewrite. I do not have any of that at this point. One alternative, perhaps it's too early to offer solutions or options, Senator Kristensen, is to wait till Select File and see if we can get it fixed between now and Select File taking the money from cities and counties. My simple calculation says it takes a couple million from each. And I, too, came into this...this morning's discussion prepared to listen and see where people's situations lie, but taking the 4.5 million additional from our appropriations process is getting to the point where it's going to be painful. Reallocation will not be so painful. That's what I wanted to listen to the discussion for this morning and see. I do think in my mind that the community colleges are entitled to the 4.5 in some format. Certainly they are short, but certainly there is, as I read from the chart, \$7 million additional money to counties, \$6 million additional money to cities and villages that could be tapped in some form. I was interested in what Senator Wickersham said about SIDs and the counties being able to share some money perhaps for SIDs in terms of coming on their mill levy limit. I think that's good. It's been my experience that any government entity doesn't want to share funding with another government entity unless there's some pressure to do so, especially in the time of levy limits. It would seem to me that...I don't know how SIDs are located across the state, I would guess off the top of my head that they probably are located in areas where counties have some unused capacity that could be allocated to SIDs. There probably are not too many SIDs in counties. Okay? Don't talk about it more? You're going to talk about it? Can't do that. But I was trying to understand your situation of what you said counties could help SIDs in some areas. I'd like to have you speak to that.