

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 17, 1999

prevent inmates from filing lawsuits, because they win against his office. So he wanted us to pass a law saying frivolous lawsuits, and he wanted to describe what they are, should be prohibited. And then I brought up all the frivolous lawsuits that he had brought up, where he was chastised on several occasions by the Nebraska Supreme Court. I read the documents where filings of his were thrown out by the Supreme Court because they were scandalous in terms of the inappropriate language they contained. And I said, Mr. Attorney General, should we apply the same rule to you, a trained attorney, that you want to apply to these nonlawyers in the Penitentiary, trying to have their rights vindicated? He didn't want that applied. And you know why he couldn't deny what I said? 'Cause I pass out to my colleagues the minutes from the Supreme Court that showed the filings of his that were thrown out, briefs that were thrown out because they were scandalous and insulting in their terminology, addressed to your Nebraska Supreme Court. That's what your Attorney General did. When we refused, as a committee, to take away the rights of these inmates to file lawsuits, he prevailed on the Department of Correctional Services to adopt a rule that will take away an inmate's good time, if that inmate files what the Attorney General and the department want to call a frivolous lawsuit. That violates the separation of powers. I read to the committee from Supreme Court cases that it is up to the court system to manage its doc...its...its...the word slips me, its docket. Thank you, Senator Suttle. It's up to the courts. And although the lawyers' ethical code will permit an attorney, and a public attorney at that, a government attorney to seek changes in the law, it does not authorize circumventing the Legislature in this fashion and taking good time away from inmates, if they file what is determined to be a frivolous lawsuit. The Attorney General does not want that applied to himself. He doesn't want it applied across the board to all these lawyers, but to these inmates, who have nobody to turn to, who have to do the best that they can, he wants them punished, he wants to chill their efforts to use the court system to vindicate their rights. He's not saying punish inmates if they somehow get the material to make Molotov cocktails, if they capture guards and try to force concessions by taking hostages. He wants us to say that when these inmates use the process that's open to everybody, then we should punish them and further restrict them. This constitution