

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 16, 1999 LB 150

always have to concern ourselves, I think, with delegation of powers and whether or not we are delegating something to, like the Public Service Commission, it would be beyond the constitutionality of what we should...or what we're able to delegate to them. What does that mean, and how would you envision the parameters of the Public Service Commission's...

SENATOR LANDIS: Right.

SENATOR BROMM: ...power under that provision?

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you. Darn good question. The Public Service Commission has in this bill, and in a couple of other places, rule and reg making authority. They may exercise that rule and reg making authority. So the first part of this sentence, I think, basically reminds everyone of the rule and reg making power of the Public Service Commission. The Public Service Commission may, from time to time, review and adjust. How would they do that? They'd do that by rule or reg, their reimbursement procedures. However, there is a boundary beyond which they cannot go. And the reason is, although the federal government has not preempted the area of telephone regulation, where it speaks and speaks clearly states do not have the authority to act in contravention of federal law. So it's a statement of a legal truism that the federal government, having spoken on this section, which are the reimbursement procedures, the FCC having laid down these standards, the state could go beyond them, but the state could not reverse them, and that the rule and reg making power of the Public Service Commission needs to be exercised consistent with this federal boundary. They can't reverse the federal law, but they can do what the federal requires, they can do more than what the federal law requires, they can change to become consistent with federal law. If this gets changed by federal law, we wouldn't have to have a new statute in the Legislature. The Public Service Commission could, by rule and reg, make the updating. So, in a sense, I think it's a statement of two things. The Public Service Commission has rule and reg making authority; secondly, that authority has to be exercised consistent with federal law. Even if we didn't announce that here, those two things would otherwise be true. But by saying them, we remind all parties that they continue to be true.