

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 15, 1999 LB 608

was very confusing language and I think that we could work on some better language and accomplish what we wanted to accomplish in the first place. So, having said that, I would recommend against killing this bill at this point.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Bruning, on the motion to indefinitely postpone.

SENATOR BRUNING: Mr. President, members, I rise in opposition to the motion to indefinitely postpone, and I guess part of the reason and one of the things I wanted to share with the body is my understanding of the Department of Labor's ruling on this or policy on this prior to the Pinzon case was that they...the Department of Labor used to withhold unemployment checks until that vacation lump sum pay had run out. So, for example, if I was fired from my job or quit my job and I had four weeks of vacation that was paid to me in a lump sum upon my separation, that...if I then filed for unemployment I wouldn't be able to receive unemployment until the four weeks had run. With the Pinzon case, the Department of Labor changed much of what it was doing and I guess, from a practical standpoint, if you think about the policy if we do change this or fail to adopt this particular provision, if thousands of us are separating from employment in...at any given time and if suddenly we move forward the date by which those thousands of people can then file for unemployment, it's going to cost a great deal more in unemployment compensation premiums. Now you say, well, that's the company that's paying those. Well, the company does pay those, but based on the money that they pay for unemployment they're paying less in wages, so ultimately it is going to affect the working man and the working woman if they're paying more in premiums. Ultimately, it's going to affect us. We're going to pay more for the cost of goods as well. So I think this is good policy, actually, to have...have it so that the people who really need the money, the people who don't have a lump sum of vacation money, are the people that are getting the unemployment compensation, not the fellow who just got a check for \$2,000 for four weeks of vacation, but the person who is no longer employed and needs the...needs unemployment to feed his family. So I rise in opposition to the indefinitely post...to the motion to indefinitely postpone and in favor of this particular provision. With that, I'd yield my time to the