

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 12, 1999 LB 126

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...clean it out.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Um-hmm.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Such compliance checks shall be conducted by the State Patrol, in conjunction with the State Patrol, or under the direction of the State Patrol. The State Patrol has to be involved in each one of these operations based on that language. Isn't that true? And if that's not your intention, you need to change that language.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Our intention, Senator, was to have it be with the guidelines and have the State Patrol keep track of but not necessarily be there for each one of these here stings.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then would you support an amendment that I'm working on to draft...I meant, to strike all of that language in lines 11 through 13 that relates to the patrol being actively involved in all these stings?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: No, I wouldn't want to strike it, Senator.

SENATOR CROSBY: Time.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: I think we could...we could change it

SENATOR CROSBY: Further discussion, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schellpeper, I am having trouble seeing our discussion as being forthright from your side. You say it is not your intent that the State Patrol be involved in every one of these operations. Now if I misunderstood you, correct me. Is it your intent that they be involved in each one of these sting operations? Is that your intent?

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Schellpeper.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: My intent, Senator, is that the guidelines and the State Patrol set up what these stings will look like, not necessarily to be involved with each individual, that they