

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 11, 1999 LB 148

below the poverty line. Now that's a five-year program. What happens at the end of five years if the federal government doesn't continue this program, which is now the end of four years? We got not only the 5 million that we've been putting in each year, but we've got 25 million each year that we're going to have to put in, \$30 million, or we go back and we say, we can't cover that anymore, kids; we can't take care of you anymore because the federal government bowed out of that. We got a notice just yesterday from the NCSL that the federal government is cutting 150 million back on TANF funds. That's Temporary Aid to Needy Families, used to be called ADC. The federal government in many cases, and Senator Wehrbein spoke to this a couple days ago, are cutting back on some of their Medicaid expenses and so then we, as a state, have the choice, do we pick up that or do we say we're not going to do that anymore? And when you say you're not going to do that anymore, you certainly put people in a...in a difficult position. All I'm saying is that I think, as the sheet that was passed out this morning on research, indicates most states are not saving enough for bad times, boy, we need to save for bad times also and take care of our citizens through their medical programs. I am not saying that we cannot fund next year respite care out of 1070 and, matter of fact, I think that would be a good choice. The committee will make that choice if that should be done. But by the Beutler amendment, we took \$500,000 out of the principal for the first year, \$500,000 a year and every year thereafter. So we have set an extremely high priority on the respite care. If the committee decides that's where it needs to be, boy, I'll certainly live with that. But to set that priority above all else, everything else that is on the table, like I said, that AIDS function, which is certainly a public health issue as far as I'm concerned, Senator Crosby had the additional needs for personal needs of Medicaid individuals, that's certainly an interest, there are many others that I think are very good. Are they equal? We'll let the committee decide that. All I'm saying is that we pull this amendment back or pull the bill back for the amendment and then next year, if it can be funded out of this and if the committee sees fit to do that, boy, I think that's great. But I think at this point in time we are jump-starting the 1070 funds. We are taking those before they even had a chance to...