

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 9, 1999

LB 126

You are conducting the sting. You're the officer conducting the sting and you're outside the place hiding, and a person walks in who is under 21. And I am the retailer and I look at this person and I say, are you 21...if...are you under 21? If the person admits to being under 21, I'm not going to sell to the person, right? If this person says, I'm not 21...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Um-hum.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...then I'm not going to sell to that person. Isn't that true?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: I would think so.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right, now if the person says...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: But...but the concern is they are doing it now.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But if the person says, I'm over 21, when he or she is not, then that sting is over because that evidence cannot be used. Isn't that true?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Um-hum.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, it's true.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Yes. Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So that language that's in there now doesn't make sense, does it? See, right now, Senator Schellpeper, you do not have something in the law that tells these operators how to avoid ever being stung. When you put this into the law, you give the blueprint for never falling prey to a sting. See, right now, if the person lies and you sell to them and you didn't check identification carefully enough, you can still be stung. You can still be stung right now, because the person doesn't have to answer truthfully. But what you're saying here is now all you have to do is ask that question and if they lie then, as they lie now, you cannot use that against the retailer. And now retailers don't have to be careful. All they have to do is ask that question. You've made it so easy for them. As soon