

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 9, 1999

LB 126

doing it continually on one or two liquor establishments week after week after week. And in the hearing, it was brought out that no one had any problem with the State Patrol doing stings, but they have a problem with some of the others, and we're not saying that the local people can't do it with the committee amendments, we are just saying it will be in conjunction with the State Patrol.

SENATOR BROWN: Do you have any con...do you have any idea about fiscal impact to the State Patrol and concerns about...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: We haven't seen that yet because...but if the committee amendment would be adopted, there would probably be a fiscal impact, but there's going to be a fiscal impact regardless probably.

SENATOR BROWN: Why?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Well, there would be, probably, with the State Patrol, and with every thing else that's in the bill, there will be some kind of a fiscal impact. I don't know what it will be.

SENATOR BROWN: Do you have any idea...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: I don't have any idea, Senator.

SENATOR BROWN: You don't have any idea how much time, how much more time and staff that might be required for this?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: I was told by some of the State Patrol that it may take a half a person's time in the office to do this, to work this up, to make sure that the whole state is operated on the same...same rules and regs, and it's going to be done under the...with the commission, Liquor Commission and the State Patrol working together on the issue.

SENATOR BROWN: Aren't there...aren't there already rules in term...from the Liquor Control Commission in terms of the way the state...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: There are some, yeah.