

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 9, 1999

LB 126

that they're...the alcohol...or the breath test and they have no alcohol, they won't be charged, and they...all of the law enforcement carry that with them, so they could give it right there to them.

SENATOR ROBAK: At the spot, so, okay, then I am clear on this then. If they are at a gathering and they are still at arm's length away from alcohol, they will not be charged with a minor in possession if they are not drinking.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: If they are not drinking, right.

SENATOR ROBAK: Okay. Another question I have is, and this was presented to me by...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time.

SENATOR ROBAK: ...a bunch of teenagers in Columbus where I live, of high school...high school students, and...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time, Senator.

SENATOR ROBAK: I am sorry.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator. On the Chambers amendment, Senator Brown.

SENATOR BROWN: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator Schellpeper a couple of questions, if he would yield please.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Schellpeper.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Yes.

SENATOR BROWN: Can you explain the rationale for the State Patrol and why we will no longer have local law enforcement involved?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Well, I think that it was brought out in the hearings, and also in the interim studies, that there is a concern with some of the local law enforcement doing stings and