

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 9, 1999

LB 126

pulled this idea from committee and put it in this form and it advanced it to Select File. I am going to vote against the committee amendments today, not because I think it's not unreasonable to try to work the bill out, but because this body of policy, I think, the Legislature has spoken on and the accommodations that have been made in the committee amendments are too great from my perspective and my way of saying no is to vote against the committee amendments. It would return us to the green copy of the bill, and the green copy of the bill had exactly the language this Legislature pulled out of committee, amended, and sent to Select File in this area, and I think that's the right policy. This is my way of getting there.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers, would move to amend the committee amendments. (See FA45 on page 871 of the Legislative Journal.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Chambers, you're recognized to open on your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I have talked to Senator Schellpeper about this amendment, but in order that the record will be clear on what it is and you can follow if you choose to, on page 2 of the committee amendments, starting in line 13 with the word "There", we would strike all language through the period after "liquor" in line 17, which would remove the statement about the rebuttable presumption. That's what would be stricken. Then because we have stricken some other language earlier, in line 20, after the word "liquor" a period would be placed and we'd strike the remaining language, which would be a semicolon and the word "and". What this amendment that I'm offering will do is to strike from the committee amendments this second rebuttable presumption. This rebuttable presumption says that any person who has a concentration of one hundredth of one gram or more by weight of alcohol per one hundred...and so forth, has consumed alcoholic liquor. The reason I don't like this bill is because they are trying, through indirect means, to get at something in young people that they would never use such indirect means to get at with reference to adults. Although Senator Schellpeper, I