

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 4, 1999

LB 211

process, but they did assure me that they would try to drop that by a certain percentage per year. They had problems with some of the residences in the buildings where the foreign students from other countries that had high smoking cultures and so on, it was virtually impossible for them to rent those facilities out. And they have to keep those residences full in order to make it pay out, otherwise, they're going to start going in the hole there. And I...and I, after visiting with them, I did see the need to keep some of those areas open for smoking. So, Senator Preister did work hard on this amendment, tried to accommodate everyone who had concern. So I would try to answer any questions, if anyone had any, on the amendment.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Janssen. Discussion on the Preister amendment. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr...Madam President, members of the Legislature, I understand that Senator Preister did meet with people, although not everyone with objections because, of course, Senator Preister didn't meet with me. I've had some objections here. Look, there's a lot of good work in the second sections of this bill. But the first section has a new element altogether, and it's indicative of the way we do business in this particular area. It says: "Smoking is prohibited in all vehicles owned or leased by the state". That wasn't in Senator Schmitt's bill, that wasn't in the Tyson amendment, that wasn't on General File, that's on Select File. When we examine the very quick thinking of Senator Tyson about, look, let's just make this cover all buildings, we found some examples of where that didn't make sense, didn't we? We discovered them and we put them in here. As a matter of fact, those points were made, and we found them here, and there is an attempt to accommodate them. But now on Select File, in this amendment, there's another unexceptioned example of something without a public hearing and without prior discussion, and that's "all vehicles". Now, we have a fleet of hundreds of vehicles. My guess is a general rule that says, look, they can't be smoking, makes perfect sense, that's okay. But is it also the case that we can't make any accommodation? Is that the point here? Wouldn't it make...wouldn't it be acceptable to say, look, we'll keep three vehicles in which there could be smoking, so that those people who want to and who are taking long trips could be able