

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 1, 1999

LB 578

make a difference? Then they would continue to do as they've...as they have done.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then I don't know that...oh, am I recognized to respond, Mr. President?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then I don't know that there is any reason for the bill, as I read the bill.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Well, it's my understanding, Senator Chambers, that they have to investigate every...every frivolous complaint that is brought to them, every accusation.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, so...so here's what you're asking me, if on...that if we limit the bill to what you find on page 2, where there would have to be sufficient information to indicate there is at least a reasonable belief that a violation has occurred, before they have to investigate, yes, that can stand by itself. That just deals with when they have to investigate. But then when you go over to this other material that you're adding, you go into an area that I think is not the commission's. So all that about determining whether or not there is reasonable belief that the matter could be successfully prosecuted should be stricken, and just limit the bill to what you have on page 2, and wherever else it might be necessary to make it clear that how, be...if...if this becomes law, the commission will not have to investigate simply because somebody brings a complaint. That person or the commission, through its investigation, preliminary review, would have to see there is at least a reasonable basis to believe that the violation alleged did occur.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That could stand.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Senator Chambers, yes, I would go along with that. With that, I'll give the rest of my time back to the Chair.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Janssen. Senator