

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 26, 1999 LB 446

SENATOR BEUTLER: As I understand the system, it would attempt to encompass all public safety entities across the state, many of them state agencies funded by the state; others, local political subdivisions of various types. Is that...is that correct?

SENATOR BROMM: That's correct, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, and it would encompass firefighters and firefighting emergency equipment, police, local police, local firefighters, local emergency medical services.

SENATOR BROMM: Game and Parks.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, and then some...then some one...some agencies on the state side, too,...

SENATOR BROMM: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...that include enforcement functions of one type or another. The report that you read to us indicated that the cost of all this should be borne by the state, or at least that's what I picked up from what you said. Is that...is that what the report suggests? Is that...I'm trying to explore a little bit how it's envisioned that this would be financed. Entirely by the state? And let me...

SENATOR BROMM: Not...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...let me go ahead and talk a little bit while you're thinking about that to tell you what's on my mind. Firefighting, public safety, police public safety, emergency medical services, to the extent that they're publicly financed, all have traditionally been local functions funded out of local taxes. In fact, if there's any tax that's...that's most related to the idea of a property tax, I suppose, it's the use of property tax monies for public safety and the protection of property. So, to the extent that we've had these kinds of services in the past and, of course, we've always had them, they've been funded primarily out of local taxes. Is it envisioned that whatever, as the benefits are proportioned out