

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 24, 1999 LB 356

people like my friend, "Deacon" Jones want to get from the committee of which I'm a member, and I'm going to have the opportunity to read comments from senators who came before that committee talking about problems that people out in greater Nebraska have in dealing with these packers. That is going to bring into sharp focus how much difference there is when the Legislature's looking at problems of white people and when they're looking at literal life and death problem of nonwhite people. The State Patrol has created profiles with reference to Hispanics for sure and, to a lesser extent, black people so that they can stop them on the highway. They'll say, well, somebody drove too close to the center line, or they were following too close, or they went across the line and looked like they were driving erratically. This Nebraska Supreme Court has begun to knock down certain charges that are brought even when a person's been convicted because constitutional rights have been violated. No longer is the court allowing warrants to be issued on flimsy bases which will then result in a conviction of a person and then allow the conviction to stand. Even on one of the Crime Stoppers things, the court said these kind of anonymous tips that do not produce probable cause cannot be the basis for getting a search warrant and going on somebody's property and searching and taking goods, and reverse the conviction, as they should. The U.S. Supreme Court has talked about these false reasons given by cops for stopping people, then wanting to pull everybody out of the car and search everybody. That case was called Knowles v. Iowa or Iowa v. Knowles, K-n-o-w-l-e-s, where the cops over there were using flimsy, manufactured excuses to stop people's cars and search them. So, when somebody over on the other side, I don't know whether it was Senator Byars, who talked about...oh, Senator Bromm, how good things are in Iowa because they make wearing a seat belt the law, Senator Bromm didn't stand up and say how the Supreme Court had to use a case from Iowa to stop these cops from using invalid reasons to take people out of their cars and search them. The seat belt thing was one of the excuses. That's what we're dealing with. That's what I'm trying to deal with. Other people have their reasons for being against a bill such as this. Senator Schellpeper touched on some of those reasons. And I have gotten calls by people giving their reasons for being against them, but I feel those, since those calls are coming from white people, they ought to call the white senators and share those opinions with