

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 24, 1999 LB 689A, 689

necessary. If you consider what \$28,000 is to the entirety of the Attorney General's budget, if you consider that the fiscal note has in it the statement that the Supreme Court is not in concurrence that there will necessarily be more evidentiary hearings, I respectfully suggest that we ought not pass LB 689 (sic), we ought to let this be absorbed within the totality of the Attorney General's budget and then decide at a future point in time what the cost and the consequences are. The fiscal note, itself, shows that these cases have, in fact, been in decline. We've gone from 346 cases, and that's all in forma pauperis cases, in which the Attorney General appeared, we've gone from that 346 in 1997 to 291 in 1998. And what we're talking about in LB 689 would affect only a very small percentage of those. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator. You had your light on before Senator Robak had yielded her time. Do you wish to continue? Further discussion on the advancement of LB 689A? The Chair recognizes Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Senator Vrtiska persuaded me that I should talk on this. I am going to take Senator Brashear's word on this and vote no. If we don't need the A bill, we don't need it and I won't have to speak on it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator. Further discussion on the advancement of LB 689A? The Chair recognizes Senator Robak on a motion to indefinitely postpone. Senator Robak, you are recognized on your motion.

SENATOR ROBAK: Thank you, Mr. President. My motion is to indefinitely postpone this bill because we don't really need it, and as Senator Brashear said, we are going to wait three years because of the Supreme Court telling us that there is no way they can figure the cost at this time, and I just move that we indefinitely...indefinitely postpone this A bill so it can be voted on upon Final Reading. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Robak. Discussion on the motion to indefinitely postpone? Senator Robak, you're recognized to close on your motion. Senator Robak's waives closing. The motion before us is to indefinitely postpone