

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 23, 1999 LB 211

hearings. So, if that's an issue or concern to folks, it has been certainly well discussed, both in committee hearings, as well as even when it was on the floor last year. So this will be the next amendment up. I'm just giving you a heads-up. I can address it when it comes up. We can certainly go back to what Senator Schmitt was intending to do with his bill and just banning it in the Capitol. We can address the questions that Senator Beutler raises with his amendment that deals with the amendment we advanced from Senator Tyson. Then we will get to the amendment that I've just read to you, that you have now, I believe, on your desk and that you will have an opportunity to look at and to see what you think and then to comment on when we actually get to that. I think it's the compromise that we were looking at, the one that we were wanting to have but, in the time frame, were a little bit uncertain about. So as you have an opportunity to look at it, I think it's a step forward. I think it addresses the public health issues, the worker health issues, the issues that we've been seeking to address all along, over the last number of years. Thank you very much.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Chris Peterson.

SENATOR C. PETERSON: Yes, Mr. President, members of the body. And I certainly want to thank Senator Preister and Senator Janssen and Senator Thompson that have all had comments about this, because I think, ultimately, what we're not wanting to do is certainly enlarge the problem. The intention of my bill was to make it clean. It would allow the governing body or the agency having jurisdiction over the building. I certainly understand Senator Beutler's concern that this would maybe put it back to more lenient than it was when we started, before Senator Tyson's amendment. So, the reason I withdrew it is with the understanding then that we would try to work something out on Final Reading that would be a reasonable compromise. And I think Senator Preister certainly has addressed all of the issues that have come up, including ones that I...I, myself, wasn't aware of. But, as I said, my issue has always been the veterans homes. And we're bringing in some other ones now. My concern about his amendment is that it starts to get quite complicated when you're starting to pick and choose like this, with different entities, and then somebody else will come in and somebody else will stay out or whatever. I would be more