

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 23, 1999 LB 211

be found on page 679 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Beutler, you're recognized to open on your amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Kristensen, members of the Legislature, I think some people want to try to get together and work out the drafting of an amendment that would suit everybody's purpose. I think there is fairly general agreement that it's just not quite right. So what this amendment does is to strike the Tyson amendment. It strikes the original Tyson amendment that got us into the subject of public buildings, generally. And so you would go back to the green copy of the bill which says, "no person shall smoke in the State Capitol building". So, if you pass this amendment, the bill would advance to Final Reading in the very form that Senator Schmitt introduced it to us. If, at that point in time, the people interested in the bill have worked out the language for expanding the prohibition to public buildings more generally, then it would be possible, of course, to amend the bill on Final Reading with everybody's agreement. But until such time as that language can be worked out, it seems to me, at least, that it would be unwise to proceed with language that we know is not going to encompass or work in every situation. So, not having that language currently, it seems that...that the better part of judgment is to simply strike the Tyson amendment, which caused all these problems, and proceed with Schmitt's bill as it was in the green form, at least until Final Reading, where at...at which time there may be an amendment that will meet with everybody's approval. That would be the suggestion of this amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Debate on the Beutler amendment? Senator Janssen.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. I stand in support of the...Senator Beutler's amendment. I wanted to speak on the Peterson amendment, but that has been withdrawn. So I can see where there would have been problems there with departments and agencies opting out of it. And one that really concerns me would be the University of Nebraska where, you know, they could...the university could say,