

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 22, 1999 LB 612

recall one from a young man who said he was reduced to living in a pickup. He couldn't even afford an apartment anymore. I recall getting one from another man who said he moved to Canada because that was like escaping the draft--he didn't have to pay it anymore. So there's definitely something wrong out there and it definitely needs some changes to be made. And at this point I know that I'm pleased with Senator Crosby's bill and I think I'm pleased with Senator Baker's bill, so I'll probably support that. Thank you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Dierks. Senator Baker.

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Madam Chairman. If there's one thing that's hard to do in this body, I'm learning it's to address all the questions. There's no continuity to them and one person speaks and raises a question, I'd like to address another one, so my notes are a little disjointed. But we need to get back to the initial issue here. And Senator Kristensen has more money than I do. When I buy a capital asset, I don't pay for it when I buy for it...or buy it. Actually, I pay for it over a number of years. In the case of a 30-year building, which I happen to have a 30-year building on depreciation, we're paying for it each year, and that's why you have depreciation. As far as the statement that, you know, you buy something in 1998, pay for it, and then you get the deduction for another 30 years, that's not the way most people structure a business. I certainly don't. We use that. We continue to pay for it. And granted, it may be a 30-year depreciation schedule and we may pay it off in 10 or 15, but we're still paying on it, and when that gets down, paid off, the way we operate, we go buy something else. It's a never-ending struggle. So we need to look at the basic fact that we're getting away from the reason this bill was drafted, is depreciation is a legitimate expense. When you buy a capital item, you obviously have to pay for it and it comes off your bottom line. It's a legitimate expense. That's why it's in the IRS Code. We were back to the issue of the courts using their discretion on this and I believe that it used to be all divorces, child custody things, were handled in district court and it's now in county courts. Is that right? Still in district courts, all of it? I'm getting all kinds of answers here. I believe...I believe they're in county courts. But we have no uniformity. I've had people from across the state call