

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 18, 1999 LB 86

and it's going to be apportioned, the tax is going to be apportioned to schools, municipalities and counties. This makes sure that the receipts that they have from that source are restricted funds. And, of course, that means that the entity is not going to be allowed to increase property taxes that would be filled in by receipts from motor vehicle taxes. There is a very, very specialized provision in the committee amendments that addresses an issue that was brought to the committee by Senator Bruning, and that is an exception to the lid for amortization of debt that was incurred prior to July 1, 1999, if the debt was incurred by a fire district in the same manner that they would have incurred bonded debt. That was a particular problem that arose in Senator Bruning's legislative district. In fact, the bonding provisions for fire districts are not like the bonding provisions for other political subdivisions, and in fact his fire district, the fire district in his district incurred debt in exactly the same way they would have incurred bonded "debt", but they incurred it at less cost. So the committee, while not wishing to completely open the door to that kind of a process, said, okay, if you incurred that kind of a debt prior to July 1st, 1999, that's okay, but after that date you're going to have to follow a more rigorous process for borrowing. I think that is the substance of the... Oh, the other part in the committee amendments, and this would have...this did affect the fiscal note. There was a provision in the green copy of the bill that would have required the State Auditor's Office to notify local governmental subdivisions, within 30 days of filing the budget, of errors that the State Auditor's Office found in the budgets. The State Auditor's Office said we're going to have to put on a lot of extra people in order to accomplish that within 30 days; we don't think it's reasonable to require that within 30 days, the committee agreed and altered that language so that it says that the State Auditor may notify a local political subdivision of errors in the budget. So it's not going to be a mandate to the State Auditor's Office to perform that kind of review. Now, with regard to the issue of what we're asking the State Auditor's Office to do in conjunction with that advisory board and review of the budget forms, there has been a group of local and state officials meeting for some time to discuss financial information that is reported by local governments to the state. And one item of discussion has been the potential for electronic