

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 11, 1999 LB 514

the 414 vote was taken in November. As a 414 proponent, there was some contact between those parts of the opposition who knew that some change needed to occur in the access charge field. So with those informal contacts, that blossomed into a small discussion group which established four basic ideas, no double-dipping, some kind of pass through for long distance companies who get savings out of this bill, the continuation of the Universal Service Fund rather than the sunset provision, and then authorization for the PSC to do the dockets they are now doing, which arguably could be challenged in court and delay the process of access reform. Those four principles were discussed and with some general agreement as to their content, but then when we tried to draft them, we failed in the ability to draft them in a way that both parties could live with, and it was Senator Bromm and Shawn on his staff who intervened at that point, and drafted the measure in a way that had the general acceptance of both sides of the controversy. As a matter of fact without their drafting, we probably would be trading draft proposals back and forth ad infinitum as both parties just couldn't find a way to write it in such a way that the other side could live with. So it really makes a difference as to Shawn and Curt's edition of a single text that bo...that all parties could rally behind and I applaud them for that work. That critical piece of work product was taken to the bill drafter, became 514, and it's the essence of the bill. I, personally, think there should be recognition in the statute for the quasi-judicial function of the Public Service Commission. Between now and Select File, I will be interested in examining a way to capture that idea. We do not force the deliberations of judges to be done in public. You can't find it in the Administrative Procedures Act. You can't find it in the Supreme Court. You can't find it in the Court of Appeals. You can't find multimember judicial functions done in the deliberation process in public; evidentiary, yes, cross-examination, yes, but sitting in a room making a decision on a quasi-judicial function, that is across the board in other parts of the Nebraska government something that is done outside the purview of the open meetings law. So I'm going to be interested in that idea between now and Select File. And I endorse 514. I hope for the advancement of the bill.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator. The Chair recognizes