

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 11, 1999 LB 514

different, I'm sure that I'll...somebody will try to track that down. The question is, is right, and I am going to try to talk about targeted penetration. Obviously, that's the one of the goals that the task force on Universal Service Fund came up with, and that was adopted by the Public Service Commission, and as my time runs out, I've got my light on because I'll pull that out and try to read to you what I believe they adopted for goals. It isn't necessarily sparse areas that is the determiner of Universal Service Fund, it's...it's high cost, and what has happened, and this will get into the 414 debate, to a certain degree, is that in some of those areas there is a low basic rate of charge for basic telephone service. And you can say, well, why is that? If they are in a high cost area, in other words that 10 mile of line, if out in some rural parts, only serves 150 customers, 10 miles of line in Omaha might serve hundreds of thousands of people, but the issue to that is that access charges, and that's the reason there was a fight over access fees, have artificially kept those rates down. Now it worked in monopoly situations where you didn't worry about competition. You were the only company in town, or in that area, and whatever your costs were, those were passed on,...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: ...and we lived in a monopoly. What's going to happen here is that the universal service and access reform is going to have to happen roughly at the same time. Because, otherwise, you're going to allow those access charges to flow to those companies and they are going to get Universal Service Funds, so they will have low rates and assistance. I think most people would find that objectionable. At the same time, you can't just go reduce access charges because if you do that and take away those bottom access fees, then the monthly rate is going to go through the roof and people won't be able to maintain their basic telephone service. So there is this balancing act, who goes first? Do we give money for Universal Service Funds or do we reduce access charges? But what the committee has attempted to do here is to try to go forward a little bit using what the Public Service Commission has already put in place, which is an order dealing with access charges. They've now got an order dealing with the Universal Service Fund, and this...