

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 10, 1999 LB 90

it's held for investigation. To me that would be a policy change or a change in practice from existing law, and I would hate to go that far without more airing of the question in a public hearing, perhaps, and more input from those agencies that are affected. This, I think, captures...captures Senator Chambers' concerns to some extent. But I think it injects an element of fairness that, if I am convicted of a crime for which the vehicle was held and investigated, that I don't think I should escape the reasonable storage costs for holding that vehicle, because I really caused...I apparently caused the reason for which the vehicle was picked up and held and investigated and for which the charges were incurred. So, I hope this is a reasonable accommodation, not an accommodation but a reasonable compromise of the policy question that was raised. And, with that, I would ask the body to certainly discuss it, but to consider passage of AM288.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator.

SENATOR BROMM: Yes, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Were you concluded?

SENATOR BROMM: I'm sorry.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: I was distracted.

SENATOR BROMM: Yes, I am. Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator. Further discussion on the Bromm amendment? Chair recognizes Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. President, members, sometimes when we introduce a bill, as a primary introducer, why we have an intent in mind, and that clouds, maybe, the other stuff in the bill that could make the bill a little bit better. And this is what happened in this case here. I appreciate Senator Bromm and Senator Chambers and Senator Beutler and others who have come forth with some ideas to make this bill a little bit better augmented, and I appreciate that. I just say I support the amendment and, with that, I hope you vote for it. Thank you.