

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 10, 1999 LB 90, 422

SENATOR SMITH: Mr. President, I move we advance LB 422 to E & R for engrossment.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator. The motion is the advancement of LB 422 to E & R for engrossment. Those in favor say aye. Those opposed nay. LB 422 is advanced. Mr. Clerk, LB 90.

CLERK: LB 90, Mr. President, the first item, E & R amendments, Senator.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Mr. President, I move we adopt the E & R amendments to LB 90.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator. The motion is the adoption of the E & R amendments to LB 90. Those in favor say aye. Those opposed nay. The E & R amendments are adopted.

CLERK: Senator Bromm would move to amend the bill. (See AM0288 on page 514 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. Chair recognizes Senator Bromm to open on his amendment to LB 90.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you very much, Mr. President. When we had General File discussion of LB 90, there were a couple of valid concerns raised by Senator Beutler and Senator Chambers. And we have attempted to address those concerns with this amendment. And we just got it worked out this morning. And I apologize for it not being on your desk. We sent the language up to the Bill Drafter, and it's not back yet, so we have a floor amendment. But it's relatively...relatively simple and very few words, so let me explain it. The...the concern that Senator Beutler had on General File was that if a state or local law enforcement agency was holding a vehicle for investigative purposes and then they sent a certified letter that they no longer needed it, the language said, in Section 4 of the bill, that they shall send a certified letter to the last registered owner. Senator Beutler's question was, if we have multiple owners, shouldn't we