

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 9, 1999 LB 87

Beutler's questions. I suspect that he has more. And frankly, I think, the kinds of questions that Senator Beutler is asking are good questions, and they are important so that you have an understanding of what the committee amendments do and what the bill, in general, does. That's one of the most important aspects of our process. Whether we decide that we agree, or we disagree, at least we do have to have a sufficient basis of understanding so that we can make a decision. But when you cut through all of the questions that Senator Beutler has been asking, and I hope that you've been listening to both the questions and the responses, the bill is not designed to confer really new authorities on political subdivisions that don't already exist through a different mechanism, with the exception of being able to assign some portion of their taxing authority to a different organization. But they, in effect, do that now, because they can assign part of the revenues that they derive from their taxing authority to a new entity anyway. Current law allows political subdivisions to cooperate through...across all the range of their functions, and, in addition, allows them to cooperate with organizations, or entities, political subdivisions in other states, and the federal government, and the state government. They just have to form a private organization if they're going to own any property, if they're going to carry out some of their functions. The bill is intended to be simply a recognition of the fact that to have a private, nonprofit corporation formed to carry out some of these functions is unnecessary and causes difficulties in personnel, in insurance, in retirement benefits, and a host of other areas that we can simplify for them, and to make it possible for them to increase or to carry out cooperative efforts without some of the difficulties that they might currently experience. Now they can use whatever structure they want. It doesn't force them to use this structure. They can use the nonprofit structure, if that fits their particular circumstances. But why should we make it difficult for them? Why should we place impediments in the way? Why shouldn't we recognize them for what they are? So I would ask for your support for the committee amendments. The committee amendments, I would say again, are simply in response to...primarily in response to bond counsel's urgings that they didn't want to have to differentiate between these organizations and the private, nonprofit corporations in their opinion letters.