

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 8, 1999 LB 211

have additional groups of people working in county buildings. And there are other situations where state workers work in NRD buildings, all kinds of situations out there. So I think we really need to think through also who is it that we're seeking to protect. It seems to be focused right now on state employees. If that's the case, then you even need to go beyond the Tyson amendment but, as a very minimum, on Select File I think we need to either clarify precisely what the Tyson amendment means or take off the Tyson amendment and proceed with the bill as it was in its original form. At least that's the only logic I can discern in the vote this morning. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Beutler. The Chair recognizes Senator Landis on the advancement of LB 211.

SENATOR LANDIS: Gosh, I didn't mean to do all the things that Senator Chambers accused me of here or...or sort of left-handedly complimented me about. I rose on the Beutler amendment actually because I was, in a sense, reacting to the Tyson amendment. My guess is the bill will move. There will be 25 votes to do that. Maybe those who can think of situations, for example like the veterans home, veterans who have medical problems, in many cases, we provide them part medical but also part residential care. It's like their home, but it's state owned. Going to tell them, elderly gentlemen most of them, "infirm" many of them, go out on the porch and smoke in the cold, even though this is your home provided you in your age by the state. I'm not sure we've thought those kinds of things out well. It's the kind of thing that a public hearing's supposedly designed to do. And the time when we left LB 211 and moved to the Tyson amendment was the time in which these kinds of ideas didn't get considered. It's why I voted against the Tyson amendment; it's why I've got to vote against 211, at this point, when I'd vote for the bill in its original form. We know the implications of the State Capitol. We're intimately familiar with them. You've made an adjustment, I'm sorry, you've found an alternative for the adjustment that I'd suggested, and that was to use the courtyards. That makes some sense. The bill's ready to move. The Tyson amendment provides us with a new difficulty and that is an unconsidered, blanket demand where there may be equities that justify some other consideration and we're operating in the absence of what we promised the state,