

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 8, 1999 LB 211

Senator Tyson's amendment was adopted. It uses the term "state building". Senator Beutler's amendment is going to elaborate and Senator Landis has suggested that the adoption of Senator Beutler's amendment will take us into territory that is uncharted, that has not been discussed by way of a public hearing. The subject of smoking is one that I don't think most people in this society are unaware of. What Senator Beutler is suggesting is that we state what is meant by the term "public building", buildings owned by the state, leased by the state, or leased to the state. That's what "state building" would mean, or that is what we would be talking about when we say smoking should be banned. I don't think that Senator Beutler's amendment is sinister. I don't think it's doing something that ought not to be done. There could be differences of opinion as to the types of buildings even directly owned and occupied by the state which should ban smoking. I think smoking should be banned in every public building, in any building connected to the state in any way by leasing to or from. I'm not sympathetic to the tobacco industry. There is an organization that comprises all of the black legislators in this country except me. I refuse to belong. I refuse to attend their meetings. And I get requests by the telephone, by mail and every other way. But they have these corporate tables, or whatever they're called, and the tobacco industry underwrites some of the activities of that organization. I am aware of how tobacco devastates not only the black community but all poor communities. This Legislature, which comprised different members at that time, passed a resolution I offered condemning the tobacco industry for targeting young, poorly educated white women. That was their approach, that's what they said it was, and that's what they were doing. So the most vulnerable people are selected out as victims by the tobacco industry. Smoking is not a victimless activity. It victimizes the smoker and those who are in the area, though they be not active smokers. I like Senator Beutler's amendment. I don't know whether he is offering it to support the bill or because he's opposed to the bill or for whatever reason he might have, but it is a sound amendment. We would have the same interests opposed to it at a public hearing. The same people would support Senator Beutler's amendment at a public hearing, in my opinion. So I think we ought to move forward in the direction we're going. This is not the first bill...