

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 8, 1999 LB 211

consequences. So the prisons already are banned. The university already has a ban, as has been mentioned, as well as out in Memorial, excuse me, Tom Osborne Memorial Stadium, so even in the outdoor areas. The one area that I would mention to Senator Hilgert and to others that has not been banned yet in state buildings is in the State Office Building. The cafeteria still allows smoking. And I've gotten complaints from a number of state workers who say they really can't down and even have lunch in the cafeteria because of the strong, concentrated effect of the amount of smoke in the State Office Building cafeteria. There are very limited areas, to be sure, and Senator Hilgert's point, being why do we even need to do this if it's banned everywhere already, certainly has merit. However, there are, as I mentioned, at least the State Office Building cafeteria where it is allowed, and I think that, for those people who choose to use that cafeteria, they should be able to go in there without having to breathe the smoke, that they personally choose not to imbibe in, against their will. Most of the concerns, including I believe that it was Senator Vrtiska who asked for an Attorney General's Opinion a few years ago to see if constitutionally we could even ban smoking in the Capitol area where the Supreme Court is housed because it was a separation of powers issue. Well, in the Attorney General's Opinion that came back, and I'll read a part of it, their conclusion was, consequently, we conclude the regulation of smoking in state buildings, facilities and vehicles, which I had also included, is within the prerogative of the Legislature and no separation of powers conflict exists under the provision of, and at that time it was LB 1064. So that argument was, I believe, put to rest, although certainly someone can say this is just an opinion. But, to my knowledge, all of the arguments and the issues that were raised have pretty much been dealt with. It is pretty much banned. Why do we need it in statute? I think because it gives more authority than just a rule or reg, or than just an executive order. I think it says that the Legislature is very concerned about the health and well-being of its...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR PREISTER: ...of its citizens and of its workers and that we are willing to take this additional step to show that