

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 4, 1999 LB 298

you are recognized to close on your amendment.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body, and, no, the Exec Board, nor its Chair, is trying to take from anyone any authority, nor do we want any authority other than what has been granted to us over time. That's not the issue. The issue simply is, is it necessary to put into statute language providing for something that can happen anyway? And that's what Section 3 does. It, as amended, it provides that the Government Committee may make a nonbinding request of Program Evaluation to study a committee. And what does that mean? Section 3 says that there shall be no change from the current practice. Section 3 provides that there shall be no change from the current practice. The other Section 2 was alluded to and, again, as I told you in the opening, I have no fault with the idea, the reason Government Committee saw fit to advance this bill to the floor, because I share their same concerns. But any committee of the Legislature, in our interim study process, can study any board or commission or all boards or commissions certainly within their subject matter jurisdiction where they have the expertise to measure the work and the...the output and, in fact, the need for that board or commission. No committee of the Legislature has any better ranking in that respect than any other committee. The other part of the bill certainly does provide to give Government Committee authority over boards and commissions that might necessarily be more well studied by the Judiciary Committee, by the Natural Resources Committee, or whatever the appropriate subject matter committee would be. And I will close as I opened, ladies and gentlemen, and share with you that this is a bill that is well-intended, it doesn't really change anything, it causes me at some point in time in the future at its passage, if it passed, to spend some money in getting it printed into the statute books at several times in the state of Nebraska, and it really doesn't change anything. The debate on this is the most valuable thing because I think it refocuses all of us on the need to be careful when we're creating commissions and be judgmental in allowing boards and commissions to continue. I think the review process through our Standing Committees ought to be continual, it ought not to be sporadic; that the committee structure, as we now have it, ought to take a look on a regular basis at those boards and commissions that are in the areas that