

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 2, 1999 LB 474

designated as critical or noncritical. Critical violations are more serious and are treated differently than noncritical violations. The list of critical violations are part of Section 19. The bill modifies some inspection procedures, Senator Beutler, and the current act seems to require that the regulatory authority must make follow-up inspection on all critical violations. The bill deletes this requirement because it is not possible for the department to conduct as many follow-up inspections as this would require. The bill also takes out a requirement that the regulatory authority must call low-risk establishments every six months to determine if they are still low risk. The department finds these phone calls to be unnecessary. I think that covers what you were asking about. They found it was unnecessary to make those calls every six months,...

SENATOR COORDSEN PRESIDING

SENATOR COORDSEN: One minute.

SENATOR DIERKS: ...and they could...they could generate their work load according to the needs of the establishments that they know they have to take care of.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Senator Dierks. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, I guess I'm further interested in the question, simply because I'm interested, and I think it's important what your theory of regulation is. If the theory is that the six month rule is too inflexible and simply unnecessary, I suppose that's one thing. If they're saying we don't have the resources to do it right, then perhaps that's another...another matter, at least it would be to me, because I think you...if they don't have the resources to do it right, then they should get the resources to do it right. If, on the other hand, they are saying they can do it right and still have greater flexibility and do less and fewer inspections, that would be great.

SENATOR DIERKS: Well, that's...

SENATOR BEUTLER: I didn't...go ahead.